QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

Questions are listed in the order in which they were received. The time allowed for this agenda item will not exceed 30 minutes. Should any questioner not have received an answer in that time, a written answer will be provided.

Questions	Answers
1. COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY
I have usually reported streetlights faults inquiries in Banbury Ruscote via a FixMyStreet report, but in cases like Beaumaris Close and Lincoln Close, where Oxfordshire County Council, Cherwell District Council and then eventually Sanctuary Housing have taken ownership, will the Cabinet member for Highways Delivery look at totally overhauling the current adoption of streetlights system by Oxfordshire County Council? This is even more prevalent in wards like Banbury Ruscote with nearby housing developments like Banbury Rise Bloor homes housing developments.	If the member has specific suggestions for how the existing system can be improved, I would always welcome these. However, the highway adoptable street lighting cannot be separated from the rest of the highway assets and the adoption process must consider all aspect of the public highway i.e. Carriageway, footway, drainage, public utilities, landscaping and trees etc. The areas referred to are on existing or established roads, which have had private development built adjacent to them. The private development to which you refer are the responsibility of the landowner, developer or management company. The Developer or landowner must request the roads for adoption and the County Council must be satisfied that they can be adopted. (I am sure that all members would agree that we do not want to adopt highways that are of sub-standard quality where it is a developer's responsibility.) When adoption is processed, it is done so for the areas of highway in their entirety i.e. the footway, carriageway, drainage and street lighting.
	All Oxfordshire County Council streetlights have identification numbers and a County Council freephone sticker number attached. This helps to easily identify assets that are the County's responsibility. When reporting faults on FixMyStreet, these would be easily identifiable as all the streetlights, managed by Oxfordshire County Council, are on the mapping database.

Questions	Answers
2. COUNCILLOR KIERON MALLON	COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL
Can the Leader of the Council tell me how many Liberal Democrat member's sit on the arc leadership executive?	I can confirm that as of present there are four Liberal Democrat Leaders who are part of the Arc Leadership Group (remembering that not all councils are part of this group) and three of those are currently members of the Arc Leadership Group Executive.
3. COUNCILLOR SOBIA AFRIDI	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Following the recent serious flood incidents over Christmas and early February, residents across my division in South Oxford were adversely affected and are very anxious that the Oxford Flood Alleviation Scheme is started urgently. At present, commencement is dependent on the County Council completing work on the A423 Kennington Bridge. It was the survey of the bridge to accommodate the flood channel that uncovered the need for its replacement. The revised plan for a bridge with wider span between supports enables a better design for the culvert and, therefore, works are essential for the Flood Alleviations to be successful. Can the Cabinet tell me when this work on the bridge is going to start and provide assurance that they are doing all they can to ensure it does not slow progress on flood prevention in our area?	The County Council is working closely with the Environment Agency due to the relationship between the Kennington Bridge works and the Oxford Flood Alleviation scheme. Both organisations are progressing the projects through the normal stages related to such large-scale infrastructure improvements. The County Council is nearing completion of the Preliminary Design Stage of the project. Detailed Design will follow this with construction expected early 2023 through to early 2026. The projects are both very complex and require a variety of steps and approvals along the way. The project teams are progressing the project as quickly as is sensible.
4. COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY
You will note the recent speed limit changes on	Thank you for the question and for bringing this to my attention. I

Questions

the A422 Stratford Road by the entrance to Bloor Homes housing developments from 40MPH to 30MPH. In essence, what the residents of trinity close need is a 20MPH speed limit and signage from the entrance to the traffic lights on Warwick Road. This would make it safer for North Oxfordshire Academy school and the environment. Can the Cabinet Member look into a timescale for facilitating a 20MPH speed limit including signage, noting that Banbury Ruscote lost out on Active Travel funding in 2020?

Answers

acknowledge the need for a reduction in speed on the A422 Stratford Road past the North Oxfordshire Academy School as well as a reduction in speed limits in certain areas in Banbury, including in my own division, and Cllr Mallon's, which have a high concentration of schools.

As well as welcome additional investment in the Budget to progress 20 mph zones, individual schemes can progress more quickly if there is local funding for them, whether from Councillors' Priority Fund monies or other funding pots.

In general, the following steps are required to be followed to progress a 20-mph scheme:

- Check that 20mph is acceptable from a transport planning / place perspective
- Undertake speed surveys to understand compliance of existing speed limit and level of measures likely to be required for 20mph
- Feasibility work to identify measures to ensure self-enforcement of 20mph
- Local engagement, co-design and buy-in from the local community, including informal consultation
- Formal consultation of TROs
- Report and decision at Cabinet Member Decision meeting
- Detailed design of measures if approved
- Implementation of scheme

A strategy for introducing 20mph across the county will be formulated as part of the Local Transport & Connectivity Plan and officers from the Infrastructure Locality Team can help to investigate any opportunities for third party funding to progress a scheme on the A422 more quickly.

Questions	Answers
5. COUNCILLOR KIERON MALLON	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY
How many miles of road is this Council responsible for and how many potholes and defects were repaired across Oxfordshire last year?	We are responsible for 4973km (3090m) of roads in the County. On top of this, we also maintain 2600km (1616m) of Public Rights of Way. Below is a breakdown of the potholes and overall defects repaired in the last Financial Year. As we are so close to year end – we've also included this years' numbers for information. As we are near the end of the current financial year, I have included data for both the current and previous years. Last financial year (2019 Apr-2020 Mar) we repaired 42,198 safety defects of which 22,932 where corrigon ways pathole repaired.
	which 32,823 where carriageway pothole repairs So far this year (2020 Apr -2021 Mar) we have repaired 41,704 safety defects of which 30,412 where carriageway pothole repairs.
6. COUNCILLOR HILARY HIBBERT-BILES	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Can the Cabinet member update the Council on the Park and Charge scheme generally and, more particularly, can she tell us how many EV charging points it will consist of and how many Council car parks will benefit from the scheme?	The Park and Charge project is targeted at car parks where there is a need to support local residents who don't have access to off-road parking and are unable to install their own charger. Working with district council colleagues, the sites have been selected that best meet where this need is highest. The chargers will be available for use by local residents overnight to provide a reliable and reasonably priced charging system as well as providing EV charging to the general car park user during the day. The project is funded by Innovate UK and we are working with a number of commercial partners to deliver this.
	The project is progressing well and the first (pilot) car park in Bicester is planned to open towards the end of April 2021. This will be a soft launch to start with a more formal, public launch to take place during May. After this the

Questions	Answers
	remaining planned car parks are due for charge point installations between June and December this year.
	Overall, the plans are that 24 car parks across Oxfordshire will benefit from the installation of charge points with 140, twin socket charge points being installed in total (280 EV car parking spaces).
7. COUNCILLOR TED FENTON	COUNCILLOR LORRAINE LINDSAY-GALE, CABINET MEMBER FOR EDUCATION & CULTURAL SERVICES
Could the Cabinet Member tell me how many parents and children were offered their first preference of a secondary school place for September 2021?	I can confirm that 6,321 Oxfordshire children were offered a first preference school on 1 March 2021. This represents almost 9 in 10 of Oxfordshire children in secondary transfer.
8. COUNCILLOR ANDA FITZGERALD- O'CONNOR	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
How does Oxfordshire perform in terms of recycling rates relative to other counties in England and what percentage of household waste was recycled in Oxfordshire last year?	Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) is a Waste Disposal Authority (WDA). The District and City councils are responsible for collection of household waste (Waste Collection Authorities WCA's) and the county is responsible for disposing of it. OCC are also responsible for the provision of Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRCs) across the county.
	As a WDA, we are responsible for the submission of data to the Environment Agency, and the recycling rates that we report are countywide figures, incorporating data from all the WCAs, as well as the HWRCs.
	OCC has had the highest County Council Waste Disposal Authority recycling

Questions	Answers		
	Oxfordshire recycled 58.8% of h	rate for 7 years running. In 2019/20 (the last full set of data available). Oxfordshire recycled 58.8% of household waste. The national average was 45.5%. The top 5 WDAs are detailed below.	
	Waste Disposal Authority	Recycling, Composting and Reuse Rate 2019/20	
	Oxfordshire County Council	58.8%	
	Devon County Council	56.6%	
	Surrey County Council	56.0%	
	Cambridgeshire County Council	55.4%	
	Buckinghamshire County Council	53.9%	
	half the residual (general waste current systems. If all this was raround 80% and save around £2 encourage them to recycle more wizard to help them identify which item (www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/www.oxfordshir	e figures, we know we can do better. Around bin) could have been recycled using our recycled, we could have a recycling rate of 2million/year. We are working with residents of their waste and have developed the was ch bin to use, or where they can donate the astewizard). Poortion of our waste, residents are also very rest place. For several years we have had	
	amongst the lowest County Cou we are ranked 8 amongst WDAs authorities – Oxfordshire's rural	ncil kg/head household waste figures. (Wheat is, we are not directly comparable with urban nature means we have a lot of garden waste refore are 3rd when looking at County Coun	

Questions	Answers			
	WDAs).			
	Waste Disposal Authority	Household waste collection (kg/head) 2019/20		
	Western Riverside Waste Authority	282.49		
	North London Waste Authority	325.32		
	West London Waste Authority	326.90		
	East London Waste Authority	365.44		
	Hertfordshire County Council	403.08		
	Greater Manchester WDA (MBC)	405.81		
	Buckinghamshire County Council	410.69		
	Oxfordshire County Council	414.51		
	Kent County Council	425.84		
	Surrey County Council	426.24		
9. COUNCILLOR PETE HANDLEY	SOCIAL CARE & PUBLIC HEA	TFORD, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT ALTH		
The Corporate Plan noted by members last month refers to there being, at least, 18,071 carers in Oxfordshire and there being difficulties in recruiting and retaining staff owing to the high	extremely important for us in Oxfordshire. We are doing some great works with OCPA in recruiting staff and I agree with you that increasing the number of the property of the			

Questions	Answers
cost of living locally. Does the Cabinet member agree with me that there is a need to build good quality houses for key workers such as this at a price they can afford?	closely with planners and developers to maximise this.
10. COUNCILLOR TED FENTON	COUNCILLOR IAN CORKIN, CABINET MEMBER FOR COUNCIL BUSINESS & PARTNERSHIPS
Can the Cabinet Member update the Council on the innovative work in flight with iHub and its importance to our commitment to ensure a thriving local economy?	The iHub collaborates with industry and academia to develop, trial and evaluate cutting edge projects and plays a significant role in place-based innovation across the County and much wider which in turn contributes to the local economy. • To date the IHub has been part of over 70 projects that have totalled over £135million, this has been made up of private sector investment alongside public R&D investment such as UK Research and Innovation
	(UKRI), or Horizon 2020 funding, with the majority of this funding focusing on projects applied in Oxfordshire.
	The work and approach have contributed to the support of local industry, for example via:
	- Creating opportunities for industry: A lot of i-Hub partners have been local innovative companies, that wish to develop and test their technology in the real world. By partnering with the county council, these projects have created opportunities (including funding) for projects that align with our own strategic goals, such as inclusive safe and green mobility. Examples include the DRIVEN and Endeavour Connected & Autonomous Vehicle (CAV) projects with Oxbotica, the Park & Charge Electric Vehicles (EV) charging projects with Zeta and the OmniCAV and MultiCAV CAV Data and Modelling projects with Latent Logic (now part of Waymo) and Arrival.

Questions	Answers	
Questions	 Supporting new Sector growth – IHub has been integral to supporting the development of the CAV sector in the County, there are now a number of companies and organisations that deliver services in this sector and employ hundreds of people, Oxfordshire is now the heart of this new sector in the UK and most likely Europe. Also in the energy sector IHub was integral, alongside Oxford University and Low Carbon Hub, in bringing together a collaboration and working through the bidding process for Local Energy Oxford, which enabled Oxfordshire to be home to a £40million Grand Challenge project that it is hoped will provide the benchmark for future energy systems and also enable both increased investment in zero carbon generation but also new opportunities and services. Investment A number have companies have been able to generate significant investment, which has in part been generated after being able to prove their business models or products through these projects, for example Oxbotica recently raised a further £34 million to add to the £14 million from 2018 secured following the DRIVEN project and last year Arrival were invested in by Kia & Daihatsu with \$100m to value them over £1bn and make them the county's latest "Unicorn" company. Promoting inclusive innovation for the benefit of all: Through the iHUB being integral to the Council it is aligned with the public benefit, and especially interested in supporting solutions that benefit the industry while catering for urgent population needs. Examples include Health & Care innovation projects, working with carers, care homes, pharmacies and public care providers. Creating a fertile innovation space: Through the work of iHUB, industry and academia have the opportunity to access data and insights to accelerate their development. This includes the safe and appropriate data sharing, creation of innovation spaces, dissemination events and creating opportunities for developing the Oxfordshire Living Lab Framework. 	
	 Supporting the long term adoption: iHUB has contributed in numerous policy and strategic documents, including local transport 	

Questions	Answers					
	ensure the formal environment technologies county, for external function management - Supporting the Department organisation innovation a Oxfordshire	utureproofing for business and part of the	g of solus and pure translation and oppositional oppositional oppositional oppositionation to stination to stination oppositional oppos	tions as to creatiblic, making the steel into practice GovTech Chall of concept for the steel in developing the for new technological globe, for example and the team white County Count	ate an at the most of cal solution lenge in the he future ugh colla cal author e UK as the the reput ology devents ology devents ology devents ology devents ology devents ology devents	of new cons for the securing of traffic boration with crities and trade cest practice in ation of velopments and the Barcelona ving forward le more
11. COUNCILLOR KIERON MALLON	COUNCILLOR ED	DIE REEVE	S, CABI	NET MEMBER	R FOR H	IGHWAY
What is the average cost of a repair broken down by the various methods employed by the Council's contractor and how are we working with	The table below pro	ovides as su	mmary o	of the average	of the dif	ferent defect
our contractor to drive down unit costs and improve the duration of each repair?	Repair Types	2019-20 No. Defect Repair (Actuals)	% Defect Repair	2019-20 Repair Cost (Actuals)	% Repai r Cost	Average Cost per Defect
	Non-cut repair	15,095	30%	£943,058	25.4%	£63
	2. Cut repair	14,972	30%	£1,503,846	40.5%	£100
	3. Dragon Patch	20,081	40%	£1,266,802	34.1%	£63

Questions	Answers	
	TOTALS 50,148 £3,713,706	£74.05
	Each repair method has a slightly different application	n, and this is explained.
	 Non-cut Pothole Repair A Non-cut Pothole repair is the simplest pothole reaffected area is swept clean and bonded before not the hole. If the extent of the deterioration is limited and there are no underlying structural issues, this long as any other. 	ew material applied to I to within the pothole,
	2. Cut Repair A square/rectangle is saw cut around the pothole of excavated and removed. A full depth repair is there durable than a non-cut repair, however, there is no defect is limited to the surface layers only within a	n made. This is more o advantage where the
	3. Dragon Patch A Dragon Patch tends to be used on more rural parts used along a predetermined route to maximum output deliver value for money. The Dragon Patcher not only failed areas but is also applied to the areas around a to fail, or defects which are likely to form potholes (preas opposed to solely reactive).	ut of the machine and y repairs potholes and pothole which are about
	We are committed to driving down the costs of repairing currently undertaking a full defect review which considered scheduling and repair methods. It is anticipated that the costs but will also increase efficiency and customer satisfactors.	ders policies, process, this will not only reduce
	This year we have proactively increased the number of undertaken rather than defaulting to 'non-cut' and have reduction complaints and concerns.	•

Questions	Answers
	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
unanimously in support of a cycling motion which included six strategic active travel commitments for Oxfordshire. Since that time national Govt has increased commitment to active travel spending, including most recently the Emergency Active Travel Schemes and achieving modal shift to active travel for short trips is a key Council policy priority. However recent capital schemes whilst welcome in principle have frequently failed to adhere to best practice design standards at implementation phase which risks negating the intended outcomes. Please could a progress update be provided specifically on point (v) of the relevant motion - 'Establish a framework to oversee quality control of all active travel	The Active Travel Hub (ATH) was established in 2020 with the role of accelerating our promotion of active travel, developing and influencing policy, and bringing forward plans for projects that will see a step increase in the levels of walking and cycling. The Oxfordshire Streets Design Guide is nearing completion with the finalised version anticipated to be presented to Cabinet for approval in May 21. The ATH is currently developing an update of both the Oxfordshire Walking Design Standards (OWDS) and Oxfordshire Cycling Design Standards (OCDS) which is set out as an ATH priority for 2021/2. Within the review of current standards, we also intend to review current OCC processes and procedures to ensure that all new proposals meet OWDS and OCDS from first inception to delivery. Regarding our capital programme delivery, we acknowledge to date that we may not have met all of OCC's standards but are now addressing this through using the guidance/identified above and aligning our designs to the Government Circular LTN1/20 where possible. We are ensuring that our designers are trained in Oxfordshire Wide Walking and Cycling Standards.

Questions	Answers	
13.COUNCILLOR SUZANNE BARTINGTON	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT	
Investment in Emergency Active Travel schemes in Bicester and Witney are welcome, however the Witney scheme has multiple challenges arising from the deadline for expenditure of funds due to the OxLEP source which is a barrier to undergoing a full design process in accordance with LTN 120, including overcoming issues with land permissions and ensuring overall scheme quality. Please could the Cabinet Member clarify why DfT funding (with a longer timescale) was allocated to Oxford components only?	The total value of the OCC DfT Active T2 submission was approximately £4.5m, the total amount awarded was £2.9m (125% of the original allocation). Prior to DfT award Officers were not confident that OCC would receive the full £4.5m and so sought to identify opportunities for additional funding to help close any shortfall. Consequently, officers were successful in realising an award of £1.4m capital funding from OXLEP on the premise that it would be spent/allocated fully by the end of March 21. The Bicester and Witney schemes were more advanced in their development and with greater assurances that they could be delivered within the financial envelope and required timescales, the decision was made that these should progress using the LEP funds.	
	Subsequently, the £2.9m DfT funding was awarded and is being used to deliver both the Oxford Active Travel capital programme and complementary measures including cycling and activation programmes across Oxford, Bicester and Witney (in line with DfT requirements). It should be noted that the extended timescales for DfT funded schemes did not materialise until later due to recognition of delayed notification and the need for extensive consultation was identified.	
14. COUNCILLOR SUZANNE BARTINGTON	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT	
At November 2020 Full Council members unanimously agreed to a six-point plan for increasing tree cover in Oxfordshire. Please could an update be provided on progress towards		
delivering this motion, specifically whether a doubling of tree cover by 2045 has now been	Council's trees and how we try to preserve them. Options for developing a Tree and Woodland Strategy are currently being considered.	

Questions	An	swers
considered in terms of viability and whether progress has been made towards a Trees and Woodland Strategy?	2.	Set a target for increased tree cover in Oxfordshire, and explore the viability of doubling coverage by 2045
	a.	This has not been addressed because there is no specific funding to replace trees that have been removed. There are opportunities to increase canopy cover using planning policy on new developments and funding opportunities for private landowners to create new woodland. Planting trees on the OCC estate and highway networks does increase maintenance costs and therefore funding for tree planting must take into account future maintenance costs.
	3.	Undertake a survey to identify existing tree cover and suitable sites for new trees (with consideration for habitat protection, land-use and biodiversity)
	a.	We are working towards a large data capture exercise as part of our new four-year cyclical inspection programme for Highway trees. Combining our new tree management software with other data sets available to OCC should enable OCC to create a priority planting map to target areas of low canopy cover; air quality/pollution; social deprivation; schools; and population densities.
	4.	Work collaboratively with District, Town and Parish Councils, civic and commercial partners to deliver tree planting initiatives, considering maintenance responsibilities.
	a.	Not currently progressing this but may be able to in future relating to the new Local Authority Tree Planting Fund.
	5.	Influence developer schemes to ensure tree planting is undertaken, supported by relevant planning agreement contributions.

Questions	Answers	
	 a. This is a focus for District Councils as the Local Planning Authority. More Oxfordshire County Council resources would be required, in terms of staff, in order to provide better early engagement to enable clear ambitions for developments and clear directions for developers who would like the County to adopt new tree lined roads. 6. Write to the SoS for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs to request additional local authority funding to support tree-planting and maintenance." a. See point 4. 	
15. COUNCILLOR CHARLES MATHEW	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT	
OCC announced in 2018/19 its intention to introduce a zero-emission zone in Oxford City, which would be the first totally zero zone in <i>any</i> UK city: will the Cabinet Member report on any progress on this project which was stalled by lockdown in 2020?	Local Transport Plan. The ZEZ is to be rolled out in phases, starting in August 2021 with the creation of a ZEZ Pilot in a small area of Oxford city centre (previously referred to as the Red Zone). This would make Oxford one of the first places in Pritain to introduce a ZEZ. The intention is to then	
	An informal consultation on the previous (Red Zone) proposals took place during January 2020 and a formal consultation had been planned for March 2020, but this was postponed due to Covid-19. It was picked up again at the end of last year when ZEZ Pilot proposals were published as part of a period of formal consultation on the scheme between November 2020 and January 2021. The ZEZ Pilot scheme was approved for implementation at the Cabinet meeting on 16 March 2021.	
	The Pilot scheme will operate via a road charging scheme with a range of discounts proposed including for residents and businesses in the Pilot area,	

Questions	Answers
	Blue Badge holders, care and health workers' vehicles and for students arriving/leaving at the beginning and end of university term.
16. COUNCILLOR PETE HANDLEY	COUNCILLOR LAWRIE STRATFORD, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE & PUBLIC HEALTH
How many adults with learning difficulties and people aged 65+ are supported by adult social care and does the Cabinet member agree with me that we must do everything we can to make adult social care an attractive and sustainable long-term career?	At the 1 st March 2021 this council was supporting 1681 adults with a learning disability with on-going care and 3494 older people. We additionally support 909 people with a physical disability or mental health problem. Supporting our most vulnerable citizens is a primary responsibility of the council and its therefore imperative that we do everything to recognise and value the role staff providing the support ply. I would like to go on record in saying how grateful I am to all the staff working across Oxfordshire in Social Care, you do a wonderful job each and every day and we are extremely grateful.
17. COUNCILLOR NICK CARTER	COUNCILLOR IAN CORKIN, CABINET MEMBER FOR COUNCIL BUSINESS & PARTNERSHIPS
Can the cabinet member update on plans for achieving ultrafast full fibre broadband in our market towns?	As you may be aware, the current focus of government intervention schemes are the final 20% (F20) of premises in the hardest to reach rural areas. Our market towns do not fall into this definition and are therefore excluded from these schemes. This is generally because: • The vast majority of residents already have superfast speeds available. As a consequence, current Department of Digital, Culture,
	 Media and Sport (DCMS) programme rules exclude them as they are not considered F20. Their Postcodes do not sit in the Department for Environment, Food

Questions	Answers
	and Rural Affairs (DEfRA) rural categorisations of D1 E1 or F1 to which DCMS intervention programmes apply.
	This means that, according to the DCMS model, our market towns will be covered by commercial activity from suppliers based on demand and their own roll-out plans. As we know from experience, this is not necessarily the case for several reasons.
	 Virgin Media has partial coverage in many market towns which, in effect, "sterilises" the rest of the town for other suppliers. Virgin Media have not indicated that they have plans to extend their network in these towns, leaving large parts with only a superfast offering.
	 Openreach will eventually have plans for these towns as it is now their policy to begin retiring their copper network from 2025 but the roll out of this plan in Oxfordshire is as yet mostly undefined. We do, however, know Banbury will be provided with fibre by Openreach as this was announced last year.
	 There is a perceived lack of customer demand from some suppliers (based on a belief that consumers are currently satisfied with their download speeds) and this would result in the cost of installing fibre not being covered by take up.
	 Working in market towns is more difficult and expensive for operators. Much more traffic control is usually needed and disruption is sometimes quite considerable, often resulting in negative feedback and press for the supplier. This pushes them towards the back of the build queue.
	Whilst suppliers are being subsidised to connect the more difficult premises, they will be less concerned about the easier ones they have to provide fibre to, at their own expense.

Questions	Answers
	The OCC Digital Infrastructure team in had already identified market towns as a potential problem area and is working on several fronts to try to resolve this issue.
	 We are currently making a case into DCMS outlining that to provide better coverage in remote rural areas whilst leaving market towns behind to commercial roll-outs is a strategic error on their part and we are providing evidence to them from our own data to support this.
	 The Rural Gigabit Connectivity Anchor Hub Site programme, for which we are about to issue a tender, will also address the needs of market towns by providing fibre connections to a range of public buildings in them – Council Offices, GP Surgeries, Fire Stations, Libraries, Museums and Schools – which suppliers can then build out from. OCC will be funding the work to those buildings that DCMS have declined to fund and this will be paid for from the gainshare payments being made to OCC by Openreach from the Better Broadband for Oxfordshire Programme.
	 Working with suppliers and our district partners and county colleagues to assist in any way we can (planning, wayleaves, highways work, etc) with commercial plans that do include market towns. For example, Gigaclear have 47 planned builds approved by their commercial team for across West Oxfordshire, which includes towns such as Witney, Chipping Norton, Burford and Carterton.
	 We are working with suppliers and communities using voucher schemes and Demand Led Projects (we are currently providing top-up funding to double the value of a voucher).
	We will continue to push the case for our market towns with DCMS and suppliers and also to ensure every opportunity to apply for funding to bring

Questions	Answers
	fibre to them is pursued.
18. COUNCILLOR MICHAEL WAINE	COUNCILLOR LORRAINE LINDSAY-GALE, CABINET MEMBER FOR EDUCATION & CULTURAL SERVICES
Could the Cabinet Member tell me what support/advice was given to schools for their	Advice and support given to schools regarding 8 th March's wider opening of schools is in line with DfE guidance.
return on 8 th March with regard to COVID testing and the wearing of masks?	Throughout the pandemic the LA Education Covid Cell has shared the DfE guidance with all schools via emails, briefings and through Schools News. A weekly frequently asked Questions (FAQ) is published. Governors/Trustees, in addition, receive pertinent communications via 'Governor Hub'.
	The Education Covid Cell has been available to schools for advice and support 7 days a week since 1 st September closing only on Christmas Day and News Years Day. Heads can contact the team for bespoke support and advice regarding all Covid matters including isolation periods, infection control and testing. Each week the Team deliver 4 HT briefings for primary, special, secondary and independent schools. Monthly meetings for EY and Governors are also held.
	Testing has been the focus of additional briefings weekly for 6 weeks for schools. During this time secondary schools' staff have moved from 'one site' testing (in secondary) to 'home testing'. Home testing for primary staff has been in place throughout the Spring term. Students in secondary move as of the 15 th March to 'Home Testing'. Household bubbles can now access home testing.
	School leaders have prepared thoroughly for the wider return of pupils to school and their dedication is to be commended.

Questions	Answers
19. COUNCILLOR MICHAEL FOX-DAVIES	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Will the Cabinet Member please report on achievements by this Council towards meeting its target of net zero carbon in our own estate by 2030?	OCC's own estate net-zero carbon target includes emissions from highway assets (streetlighting and traffic signals), fleet (approximately 500 vehicles), staff travel, and approximately 120 corporate buildings' heating and electricity. The full scope of our target can be found in our Greenhouse Gas reporting .
	Corporate emissions have declined 50.8% between the baseline year of 2010/11 and 2019/20, from 26,510t CO ₂ e to 13,051t CO ₂ e. From 2018/19 to 2019/20, corporate emissions decreased 8%, exceeding our current annual target of 6%.
	In 2019/20, emissions from fleet reduced 7% and from staff travel reduced 16%. Emissions from highway assets reduced by 11%. Emissions from buildings' electricity dropped 11%, while emissions from heating increased by 11% (this was lower than the 17% increase that would have been expected due to cold weather in this year).
	The 2019/20 figures cover the period to the end of March 2020, so they do not reflect reductions due to COVID-related changes, which are now being incorporated into our work practices. Emissions from this period will be reported in our next round of emissions reporting this summer. Digital by default meetings and service digitalisation contribute not only to a reduction in staff travel but also help partner organizations and residents curb emissions.
	The council's LED conversion programme has now converted 31.5% of streetlights, contributing to nearly 813t CO2e and 245,000 kWh savings last year. The programme aims to have all 59,631 lanterns fitted with LED equipment by 2025/26.
	The council has secured £2.13m from Public Sector Decarbonisation Fund for heat decarbonisation and energy efficiency measures across 7 council buildings and 4 maintained schools. This Programme will be delivered across 2021. These measures will translate into 200t CO2e savings realisable from

Questions	Answers
	beginning 2022. Other measures carried out to reduce estate emissions include:
	a. Heating control project at Speedwell House
	b. 42 PV panels installed in Ron Groves House in Kidlington
	c. Benson Library off the gas grid with solar panels, battery storage and heat pump
	OCC has an 'electric by default' fleet replacement policy. The fleet now has 21 EVs, served by a network of 44 EV charging points at 17 council sites. The goal is to replace all cars with EVs by 2024 and all vans by 2028.
	We are looking at opportunities to use our own estate to trial innovative technologies such as building energy flexibility through Project LEO (Local Energy Oxfordshire) and Vehicle to Grid charging.
	Since October 2020, the council is buying certified green grid electricity for council buildings and maintained schools.
	Alongside our commitment to reduce own estate emissions, we are working to lead the way to a zero-carbon county. We are undertaking a wide programme of work including our zero-carbon-focussed travel strategies – such as the review of the Local Transport and Connectivity Plan, the Oxford Zero Emissions Zone, and Connecting Oxford – and our work on electric vehicle charging strategy and commitment to active travel. We are working in partnership to support planning for zero-carbon development and infrastructure through a new approach to the Oxfordshire Infrastructure Strategy and Oxfordshire Plan 2050, and to champion the environmental principles of the Arc. We are now in our twentieth year as lead funder of the Community Action Group project supporting Oxfordshire voluntary groups taking action to tackle climate change.
	We are partnering in a range of innovation programmes to ensure Oxfordshire can benefit from new technologies and approaches to decarbonisation. Examples include:

Questions	Answers
	a. Project LEO (Local Energy Oxfordshire), the national demonstrators trialling smart grid and flexibility;
	b. Park n Charge, which is trialling new business models to accelerate the roll out of Electric Vehicle charging for residents without access to off-street charging.
	Further details of our commitment and plans for Countywide emissions can be found in our Climate Action Framework 2020 Climate Action Framework (oxfordshire.gov.uk)
20. COUNCILLOR LIAM WALKER	COUNCILLOR LAWRIE STRATFORD, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE & PUBLIC HEALTH
Are the public health team in Oxfordshire aware of any venues that are offering a private vaccine service and if so, what action is being taken to	The COVID-19 vaccination is only available through the NHS to eligible groups and it is a free vaccination.
stop them?	We are not aware of any venues offering a private service.
21. COUNCILLOR ARASH FATEMIAN	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY
Can the Cabinet member for Highways advise when the long overdue - and very welcome - improvements to Bankside will be made, benefitting both residents in my division and those across Banbury?	I can confirm that the scheme is due to commence on 10 May. Residents will, I hope, be pleased with the result and I thank him and Councillor Mallon for helping push these important works along over several years.
22. COUNCILLOR ARASH FATEMIAN	COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL
After reading the budget in detail, I welcome the investment in Oxfordshire for the next four years and am glad that was passed in Council with a	The budget setting process gives all parties the opportunity to put forward proposals highlighting their priorities are for the future year especially ahead of an election. The lack of any alternative proposals normally show that the

Questions

majority of councillors across all parties. Does the Leader agree with me that the lack of any budget amendments from the Liberal Democrat party, is a) neither liberal, being in fact regressive and b) not democratic, by not allowing the residents of Oxfordshire to hear policy ideas debated, tested, and possibly adopted, as in previous years, in a debate. Especially as during the budget debate a number of councillors from that party seemed to indicate they are in favor of a larger (4.99%) increase in council tax, than that passed (2.99%), vet refused to formally table this. Does the leader also agree that as such, this is a gross dereliction of public responsibility and hopes that the public will hold them accountable at the ballot box on May 6th?

Answers

proposed budget by the Conservative Independent Alliance is approved by the Liberal Democrat group. This did not happen at this year's budget as they voted against the budget that contained

£200,000 for the roll out of 20 mph speed limits £200,000 for additional drainage and vegetation clearance £4 million for social services £1 million for youth services £150,000 for apprenticeships.

By voting against I can only conclude that the Liberal Democrats do not support these key policies for Oxfordshire's residents.

I am sure that The Liberal Democrat's election leaflets will contain references to voting against these important proposals.

23. COUNCILLOR ROZ SMITH

Concerning the County's loss of £1.6m in the Parking Procurement case. Did the terms of reference of the Independent Review include examining the effectiveness of Portfolio Holders in their role?

COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

As was set out by the Corporate Director for Customers, Organisational Development and Resources at the Audit and Governance Committee on 3rd March 2021, the terms of reference for the review were broad in scope. They included the full remit of all relevant and related activity, decision making, process design, control and compliance, management and leadership, communications, any constitutional or procedural issues, judgement and decision making.

These terms were not limited to one service area or element of the process. Likewise, the terms were not limited to the role of officers and portfolio holders participated in the investigation.

Questions	Answers
24. COUNCILLOR PAUL BUCKLEY	COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL
As a member of Audit and Governance Committee which considered the issue of the County's loss of £1.6m in the parking procurement case, please would the leader explain to Council which councillors have had access to the report of the Independent Review?	The report of the Independent Review is a confidential document. It has been fully reviewed by the council's monitoring officer, the commissioning officer (Corporate Director of Customers, Organisational Development and Resources), the Director of Human Resources and the Corporate Director, Commercial Development, Assets and Investment. Cabinet, and the portfolio holders, have accepted in full the thematic findings, recommendations and the action plan arising from the report.
	The report has not been released more widely (to officers other than those listed, or to members) for the following reasons:
	 It was of critical importance that the Council's investigation was as wide ranging and comprehensive as possible in order to properly identify and understand where there were failings, and who or what was responsible for those failings. In order to ensure that this was the case, witnesses (who were not legally obliged to provide evidence to the investigation) were given appropriate assurances that their evidence would be treated confidentially.
	The evidence gathered from those witnesses is set out in detail within the report that has been withheld from disclosure. That evidence is clearly attributable and includes the personal data not only of the witnesses, but of various other third parties. The information provided sets out the key themes from the investigation to allow the Council to address these failings moving forward. These have been clearly set out in a report to Audit and Governance Committee and are supported with a comprehensive action plan.
	It is accepted that the Audit and Governance Committee needs to have access to certain information for them to undertake their functions and the monitoring officer is satisfied that the information being provided to

Questions	Answers
	the Committee is adequate to meet that requirement. The information provided to the Audit and Governance Committee (and put into the public domain) draws out the key themes and findings from the investigation.
	There is a clear public interest in information gathered by the investigation, as well as in ensuring that the Council properly and fully addresses any failings identified so as to avoid further issues occurring moving forward. This is why the Council has already disclosed information into the public domain, including the information that is contained in the public papers of the Audit and Governance Committee. It is the view of the monitoring officer that this disclosure meets the public interest.
25. COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN	COUNILLOR DAVID BARTHOLOMEW, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE
On what date were you first informed of the compensation claim from Marston Holdings, what advice did you give officers at that point, what actions did you take when you were informed?	I was first informed of the claim and settlement on Thursday 30 th January 2020. After expressing my surprise that such a settlement could be reached without reference to the relevant Cabinet Member (Cllr Constance), or myself as Cabinet Member for Finance, I stated that a full investigation should be undertaken.
	I emailed the Chief Executive (copying the Leader) on Friday 31st January 2020, making clear my great concern. She replied stating the need to understand how a fundamental service failure had occurred and what needed to be done to prevent it occurring again.
	I raised the issue with senior officers and all Cabinet colleagues at Informal Cabinet on Tuesday 4th February 2020, repeating my request for a full investigation. The Chief Executive recognised the seriousness of the issue and confirmed this would happen. An independent investigation was

Questions	Answers
	commissioned and commenced in March 2020.
	I informed the Chairman of Audit & Governance about the issue at the earliest opportunity on Thursday 20th February 2020. Officers advised me that no public comments should be made until the independent report had been finalised, lest such comments jeopardise the report. I pressed officers on progress of the report on a regular basis. The investigation was expected to take a period of months due to its complexity and the task of tracking down previous employees to contribute. Delivery of the report was also delayed by complications arising from the pandemic.
	(Note that the publicly available February Cabinet report included an update on the budget position relating to the monthly position in December 2019. This report (annex C) set out a net £0.4m overspend in community operations with a £1.8m pressure on the parking account due to the 'combination of an historical downturn in parking income, increased running cost and parking enforcement procurement costs).
26. COUNCILLOR JANE HANNA	COUNCILLOR LORRAINE LINDSAY-GALE, CABINET MEMBER FOR EDUCATION & CULTURAL SERVICES
Please could the Cabinet Member list the dates between April 2017 and March 2020 of each request for a school place made by officers of this authority that was not met by a school or academy and the date when the matter was escalated to the Secretary of State with a request for an Order to be made?	Where a local authority considers that an Academy will best meet the needs of any child, it can ask the Academy to admit that child, but it has no power to direct it to do so. There is an expectation in the School Admissions Code 2014 that a local authority and an academy will usually come to an agreement, but if there is a refusal to admit a child, the relevant local authority can ask the Secretary of State to intervene. The Secretary of State has the power under an Academy's Funding Agreement to direct the Academy to admit a child and the ESFA (Education and Skills Funding Agency) acts on behalf of the Secretary of State in these matters. If after considering the case, the ESFA decides that it would be reasonable to issue a direction, a "minded to direct" letter is sent to the relevant school. Schools normally admit

Questions	Answers
	a child on receiving such a letter and it is rare for the ESFA to issue a formal direction. No formal directions were issued between April 2017 and March 2020. On each occasion that a case was referred to the Secretary of State by Oxfordshire County Council, the ESFA decided that it would be appropriate to issue a "minded to direct" letter in order to ensure that a place was offered at the relevant school.
	Between April 2017 and March 2020, there were 12 requests to the Secretary of State/ESFA to direct the admission of students who had been referred for action under the Fair Access Protocol. Of these requests 2 were rejected by the ESFA and 1 was discontinued because of the issuing of an EHCP (Education Health and Care Plan). The date of each referral and its outcome will be made available confidentially to the Cllr Hanna as they contain individual pupil level information.
	The referrals to the EFSA were all made following an initial unsuccessful referral to a Fair Access Panel. Each secondary phase Fair Access Panel meets 8 times per academic year and the Primary Fair Access Panel meets as necessary during the academic year.
27. COUNCILLOR GLYNIS PHILLIPS	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
Can I please have an update on progress with implementing a safe pedestrian and cycle crossing at the end of Collinwood Road in Risinghurst? Can the Cabinet member confirm that officers are actively seeking s106 funding from the Thornhill Park development to fund this much needed and long overdue safe crossing?'	A feasibility study for a new toucan crossing of the A40 at Collinwood Rd has been completed by the county. As previously stated, there is currently no further funding to deliver the measure, however county officers will actively pursue suitable opportunities to secure funding for its delivery including through development contributions. This includes ongoing discussions regarding the Thornhill Park site.

Questions	Answers
28. COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN	COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY DELIVERY
In November it was announced that a new Speeding Task Force was to be set up in partnership with Thames Valley Police. Can the Cabinet member for Highways please provide us with a progress report?	We can confirm that senior level meetings between Thames Valley Police (TVP) and the County Council are taking place to ensure a strong partnership in relation to speeding across the county. A key initiative that TVP are undertaking is a revamp and enhancement of the community SpeedWatch programme. This is looking at new systems and improved ways of working with the community. A trial was planned for last autumn but has been delayed due to COVID but will be progressed as soon as is possible.
29. COUNCILLOR PETE SUDBURY	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
There is increasing evidence that mandatory cycle lanes demarcated only by painted lines do not increase safety, and that advisory lanes, (those marked by dashed lines) actually increase risk to cyclists compared to no lanes at all. In contrast, protected lanes, demarcated by a kerbs or with the lane stepped up, very significantly reduce risks of injury, with the latter being the safest. These differences are not trivial: a study by TfL, examining 2,876 cyclist injuries found protected lanes reduced the chance of injury by 40-65%, whereas advisory lanes increased it by 34% and mandatory "line only" lanes made no difference, even when episodic protection (e.g. regularly spaced blocks or posts) was in place. In the light	Advisory cycle lanes have been used for many decades within Oxford (with the first lanes in the city being installed in 1982) and elsewhere in the county, with mandatory lanes also provided in a smaller number of locations, and have typically been well received by the public, and in particular by cyclists. While it is true that before and after studies carried out by the County Council showed little if any change in the number of reported cycle accidents where either advisory or mandatory lanes have been provided, equally there has been no local evidence of cycle safety being compromised by their provision. The recently updated Department for Transport guidance (Local Transport Note 1/20) acknowledges the limitations of advisory and mandatory cycle lanes but recognises there are locations where other options are not viable. Our aspiration (as set out in our Cycling Design Standards, 2017) is to provide stronger segregation for cyclists where funding and site constraints permit, but where this is not currently possible, existing advisory and mandatory lanes will be retained and new ones provided, noting that the ongoing monitoring of reports of cycle accidents throughout the county shows no basis for removing either advisory and mandatory cycle lanes, a measure

of this, would the Cabinet Member think it appropriate to consider such measures as

Questions	Answers
awareness campaigns for cyclists and motorists around the risks of non-protected lanes, changing its policy on new cycle lanes to include only protected lanes and removing or upgrading all unprotected lanes?	
30. COUNCILLOR SUSANNA PRESSEL	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
We were promised a low-traffic neighbourhood in Walton Street. We bid for and got government money to promote active travel in Jericho. Why is the County Council now proposing measures which bear no resemblance whatsoever to a low-traffic neighbourhood and which will not encourage more walking and cycling?	The consultation proposals have been developed following engagement and feedback from those in the local community. The proposed measures, put to consultation, allow only pedestrians and cyclists to making certain movements and are specifically intended to restrict through traffic from motor vehicles passing through the area. We therefore disagree that the proposals would not create an environment that encourages walking and cycling. Should the measures be implemented, this would be done as a trial with surveys being undertaken to assess the schemes performance.
31. COUNCILLOR SUSANNA PRESSEL	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
The City Council is planning to make all its vehicles electric by 2030. A quarter of them will be electric by 2023. How does this compare with the County Council?	The County Council has a strong track record on carbon emissions reduction. We are committed to moving to electric vehicles, in tandem with reducing overall car travel, in the County and on our own estate. In our own fleet, we are working to phase out petrol and diesel vehicles, ensuring, where feasible, all new vehicle acquisitions are zero tailpipe emission by default on renewal. In 2019 we agreed an Ultra-Low Emission Vehicles Policy statement 2019 setting our commitments for the council's owned or leased fleet: Transition out cars starting immediately, aiming for the majority of vehicles to be zero emission by 2024. Begin to transition out vans, starting immediately, whilst recognising the
	market is less developed, aiming for transition of the majority of vehicles to be

Questions	Answers
	complete by 2028. Actively explore alternatives, and innovative solutions (electric, hydrogen etc.) to reduce emissions from heavy good vehicles and specialist vehicles (fire engines, mini buses), bringing forward business cases as they become financially and operationally viable.
	We are currently operating 21 Electric Vehicles including 9 cars, 9 vans, and 3 minibuses / Multi-purpose Vehicles. These EVs have been added to fleet over the past 24 months. We have installed 44 EV chargepoints across 19 council buildings to support charging of existing EVs and enable uptake of further EVs in our fleet. We are also engaging with new technologies such as vehicle to grid charging. The council has just agreed a new strategic management approach to fleet, which will further support emissions reduction from fleet by transition to electric and offer opportunities to look at shared fleet.
32. COUNCILLOR SUSANNA PRESSEL	COUNILLOR DAVID BARTHOLOMEW, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE
We were promised that when we left the EU an extra £350m a week would be available for public services like the NHS. How much of this do we expect to come to Oxfordshire?	Queries relating to central government decisions on NHS funding are outside the remit of this Council.
33. COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN	COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
The current Eynsham Park & Ride plans do not take account of a future Witney to Oxford railway line and paragraph 63 of the planning application explicitly states that longer term measures such as re-opening the Witney to Oxford railway line have been discounted. Now that the leader has	I'm sure that Cllr Leffman will agree with me that its vital we improve public transport and cycling facilities along the A40 corridor to give the residents of West Oxfordshire the opportunity to get out of their cars. This is exactly why we are investing £175 million to provide improved public transport and cycling facilities on the A40. We have based this on many reports dating back to the turn of the century when all options including a rail link has been considered.

Questions
given his support in a letter to the Witney to
Oxford Transport Group for their application to
the Department for Transport for funding for a
feasibility study, will he now confirm that if the
application is successful, the line will no longer
be discounted?

Answers

Oxfordshire County council is part of the North Cotswold Line Task Force working with 4 other County councils, Network rail and Great Western Railway to deliver 4 trains per hour to Hanborough station providing another public transport option. I 've supported the feasibility study on the understanding that it will not delay or detract from the groups work as its vital we deliver improved services on the North Cotswold line.

If the bid for funding is successful and a feasibility study produced then it will be taken into consideration in the future, but any rail line would be a very long term project and I cannot delay these vital projects that will give the residents of West Oxfordshire real travel options within the next few years.

34. COUNCILLOR JANE HANNA

Can the leader provide an update on action since the County Council unanimous support for the December 8th Motion on OX12 including what he has done to influence a positive commitment within BOB to acceptable health and social care provision for the residents at the heart of the OX12 population pilot and whether he can make public his correspondence to the Prime Minister, the Select Committees for Health and Social Care, Housing, Communities Local and Government to urge the vital importance of safeguarding local democracy and scrutiny as non-elected decision-makers implement policy across Oxfordshire.

COUCILLOR IAN HUDSPETH, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

Since the December meeting I have been only able to attend 1 meeting of the Chairman's group when I raised the issue of how best we can influence local decision making for areas such as the OX12 group. The input was welcomed as it highlights the fact that future decisions regarding healthcare within the ICS framework should always be made at the appropriate level based upon the overall strategy for the ICS. We have also seen the publication of the NHS white paper which helps further clarify the expectations of the relationships between the NHS and local government.

HWB Board recently have received a presentation on the work we are going to do across NHS and local authorities focused on the life stage of Ageing Well to develop a truly integrated community service strategy to ensure:

- Increasing independence and health and wellbeing outcomes for our population;
- Working with our population to make best use of our people, our systems and our assets.

Questions	Answers
	This piece of work will include defining and agreeing the role of community-based beds in this.
35. COUNCILLOR JENNY HANNABY	COUNCILLOR LAWRIE STRATFORD, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE & PUBLIC HEALTH
Can the cabinet member provide information on when they were first aware that Oxfordshire County Council's care home in Kidlington, run by the Order of St John, was in crisis and at risk of closure, and the earliest date that the 28 residents and their families were consulted about the closure of the home and what good practice was followed, given many would have been shocked and their well-being at risk with expectations that they would have been able to stay in their home. Can the cabinet member give	Thank you for your question. The council along with our partner 'The Order of St Johns Trust' work closely to ensure that our services are modern, fit for purpose and delivering value for money. The Glebe residential home built in the 1970s has struggled to deliver a service that is attractive to people in 2020 due to limitations of the building and the reducing number of people needing this type of support. Less people need this support due to the increased alternatives available to help people remain in their own homes or through alternative accommodation support such as Extra Care Housing, live in support etc.
reassurances that the decision on the closure of the care home in Kidlington will now go to property for consideration of the use of Oxfordshire County Council's asset and best value for Oxfordshire's residents?	We fully recognise the anxiety that any change can cause individuals and their families and we have worked closely with families and OSJT to make the transition as smooth as possible. I'm pleased to say that all individuals have now moved safely to their new homes the majority to other OSJT homes. Finally, the decision about the future use of the Glebe will be made following usual procedures led by the council's property department.
36. COUNCILLOR JANE HANNA	COUNCILLOR STEVE HARROD, CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN &
Given the BBC Today extremely troubling	FAMILY SERVICES
coverage on 12 th March about the placement market for children in care, how many of	In 2019-20: - 11% of children we care for experienced 3 or more placement moves in the
Oxfordshire's children have experienced multiple moves and/ or moves out of Oxfordshire in the last twelve months; what is the highest weekly	year 36% of children we care for were placed out of county and more than 20 miles from their coming into care address. We recognise that this is not good

Answers
enough and our placement sufficiency strategy addresses the need to create more local placements, reflected in the council's investment in in-house foster care; the re-provision of Maltfield through a new build and the commissioning of more local residential placements.
The highest weekly cost for a placement this financial year was a bridging placement at £10,000 per week. The young person later moved to a longer-term placement which cost £5532 per week.
In 2019/20 – the average cost of a placement for children we care for was approx. £1,050.
In 2013/14 – the average cost of a placement for children we care for was approx. £850.
This is an increase of 23.5% over 6 years, an average of 3.9% per annum. The increase is due to a mix of price inflation and the changing placement mix (e.g. more IFA and residential placements than in 2013).
COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT
A funding bid has been submitted to the Government's 'Restoring Your Railways' Ideas Fund for Grove Station, backed by David Johnston MP. We expect to hear the outcome of this bid in May – our ability to progress the scheme at this time is dependent on that bid being successful.

Questions	Answers
has been or will be found?	